#4190

## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

## **Utah Coal Regulatory Program**

March 25, 2013

TO:

Internal File

THRU	ī:	Steve Christensen, Permit Supervisor			
FROM	1:	Amanda Daniels, Environmental Scientist II Ap			
RE:		2012 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Andalex Loadout, C/007/0033 WQ12-3, Task ID #4190	Resources, Inc.,	Wildcat	
reports Octobe	oring pla s submit	Fildcat Loadout processes coal but has no coal extension is described in the MRP on page 137 and in Tacted by the Permittee indicate that measurable flow 206 at WCW-1 and 2,900 gpm on August 20, 200 gpm on August 200 gpm o	ables IV-10 and I w is very rare: mo	V-11. Quarterly ost recent –	
1.	Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?				
	There i	is no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this s	ite.		
	Stream	ns	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3 and WCW-4 during the third quarter of 2012.					
	UPDE	S	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
002, W 2012.	There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A 001, WCW-B 2, WCW-C 003, WCW-D 004, WCW-E 005, and WCW-F 006 during the third quarter of 12.				
2.	Were a	all required parameters reported for each site	?		
	Stream	ns	YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
2012.	"No flo	ow" was reported for all MRP stream monitoring	points during the	third quarter of	

Page 2 C/007/0033 WQ12-3 Task ID #4190 March 25, 2013

	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌		
	"No flow" was reported for all UPDES discharge points during the third quarter of 2012  Were any irregularities found in the data?				
3.					
	Streams	YES [	NO 🗵		
	UPDES	YES	NO 🖂		
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data?				
In the year preceding renewal, the MRP calls for one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The permit was renewed 05/05/09: there were no reported flows or discharges during the year preceding renewal. The next renewal submittal is due 01/05/14 and the next renewal is due 05/05/14. Because of the scarcity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline parameters.  5. Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, including initially missing data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data?  YES NO					
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more informmonitoring requirements?	nation to fulfill t	this quarter's NO 🔀		
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.				
	None				
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?				
	None				
O:\007	033 WCL\Water Quality\WG4190 docx				